

James Warrington, David D. Brewster & Richard M. Black, partners, doing business under the name & style of Warrington, Brewster & Co.^s

against
J. B. Barham

Plffs. } In banc
Def.

1686
F. f. 4

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants twenty one thousand dollars the amount of the account upon which this action is brought with legal interest thereon from the 1st of August 1869 till paid and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

George A. Thomas & John A. Cox, merchants & partners, doing business under the name & style of Thomas & Cox

against

James W. Duke

Plffs. } In banc
Def.

1686
F. f. 4

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant two hundred and forty one dollars the amount of the account upon which this action is brought with legal interest thereon from the 23rd day of September 1869 till paid and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Wm. D. Baruch & David T. Higgins, merchants & partners, doing business under the name & style of Baruch & Higgins

against

James W. Duke

Plffs. } In banc
Def.

1686
F. f. 4

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover against the defendant one hundred and fifty nine dollars with interest thereon from July 18th 1869 till paid. The amount of the account upon which this action is brought, and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

George W. Hensley, Charles W. Miller & Samuel B. Brown, merchants & partners, trading under the name & style of Hensley, Miller & Co.

against

Henry T. Barham, Edward Turner & John G. Turner, merchants & partners, trading under the name & style of Barham, Turner & Co.

Plffs. } In banc
Def.

1686
F. f. 4

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiffs being now entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover against the defendants four hundred and thirty eight dollars the bill in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 2nd day of December 1868 till paid and their costs by them about their suit in this behalf expended.

Northwestern & Jenkins

against

Levi W. Vick

against

James W. Duke

Robert Clarke

against

Wm. D. Baruch

Plffs. } In banc
Def.

Plffs. } In banc
Def.

Plffs. } In banc
Def.

The defendants of these causes at the Rules not having been set aside are severally confessed -